

A use of the central limit theorem to obtain a classical limit for the center-of-mass tomogram

G.G. Amosov and V.I. Man'ko

April 7, 2009

Abstract

We investigate the dependence of the center-of-mass tomogram of a system with many degrees of freedom N on the Planck constant $\hbar$. It is shown that to use the central limit theorem under taking the limit $N \rightarrow +\infty$ one should keep the energy of the system to be constant. In the case, the resulting distribution is Gaussian if the initial distribution is a product of independent excited states of a quantum oscillator or even and odd coherent states either. Then, if one turns the Planck constant $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we get δ -function associated with the distribution concentrated in zero with the probability equal to one.

1 Introduction

In [1] we studied the center-of-mass tomogram [2, 3] in the limiting case of many degrees of freedom $N \rightarrow +\infty$. It was shown that the final distribution tends to Gaussian if the state of the initial system is a product of excited states of a quantum oscillator and some additional conditions are satisfied. The conditions obtained are due to the central limit theorem. Nevertheless the unitless case was considered (the Planck constant $\hbar = 1$). In the present paper we investigate the classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ for the center-of-mass tomogram. Initially we were inspired by the study of taking the Ehrenfest limit ($\hbar \rightarrow 0$ under preserving the mean energy) discussed in [4] in the context of quantum tomograms. Nevertheless, the results obtained are not of the same sort as in [4].

Denote $\mathfrak{S}(H)$ the set of quantum states (positive unite-trace operators) in a Hilbert space H . We shall use the well-known formalism of quantum probability theory (see, f.e. [5]) in which given an observable $\hat{x}$ in H and a quantum state $\hat{\rho} \in \mathfrak{S}(H)$ one can define the probability distribution

$$P(\hat{x} \in \Omega) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{E}_{\hat{x}}(\Omega)), \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{E}_{\hat{x}}$ is a spectral projection-valued measure associated with $\hat{x}$ such that $\hat{x} = \int X d\hat{E}_{\hat{x}}((-\infty, X])$ and Ω is an arbitrary Borel subset of the real line $\mathbb{R}$. We

shall say that the observable $\hat{x}$ has a probability distribution (1) in the state $\hat{\rho}$. We denote $\mathbb{E}(\hat{x})$ and $Var(\hat{x})$ the expectation and the variance of a random value with the probability distribution (1). The sense of (1) is the statistical readings of the instrument measuring $\hat{x}$ in the state $\hat{\rho}$. Different observables $\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ are said to be independent in the state $\hat{\rho}$ if they are pairwise commuting and the common distributions of $\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ determined by the formula

$$P(\hat{x}_1 \in \Omega_1, \dots, \hat{x}_N \in \Omega_N) = Tr(\hat{\rho} \hat{E}_{\hat{x}_1}(\Omega_1) \dots \hat{E}_{\hat{x}_N}(\Omega_N))$$

are factorizable in the sense that

$$P(\hat{x}_1 \in \Omega_1, \dots, \hat{x}_N \in \Omega_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N P(\hat{x}_i \in \Omega_i).$$

2 The center-of-mass tomography

Let $H = \oplus_{i=1}^N H_i$ be a Weyl-quantized N-mode systems with the independent canonical observables $(\hat{q}_1, \hat{p}_1), \dots, (\hat{q}_N, \hat{p}_N)$. Following to [2, 3] we define the center-of-mass tomogram ω_{cm} of a quantum state $\hat{\rho} \in \mathfrak{S}(H)$ as a function of $2N + 1$ parameters $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)^T$, $\bar{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)^T$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$\omega_{cm}(X, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) = Tr(\hat{\rho} \delta(X - \bar{\mu} \bar{\hat{q}} - \bar{\nu} \bar{\hat{p}})),$$

where $\bar{\mu} \bar{\hat{q}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \hat{q}_i$, $\bar{\nu} \bar{\hat{p}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \nu_i \hat{p}_i$, respectively. Since the center-of-mass tomogram ω_{cm} is one can restore the state $\hat{\rho}$ as follows

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int \exp[i(X - \bar{\mu} \bar{\hat{q}} - \bar{\nu} \bar{\hat{p}})] \omega_{cm}(X, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) dX d\bar{\mu} d\bar{\nu}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose that $\hat{\rho}$ is a separable pure state such that $\hat{\rho} = \prod_{i=1}^N \hat{\rho}_i$, $\hat{\rho}_i \in \mathfrak{S}(H_i)$. Introducing the symplectic tomograms ω_i of the states $\hat{\rho}_i$ by the formula

$$\omega_i(X, \mu_i, \nu_i) = Tr(\hat{\rho}_i \delta(X - \mu_i \hat{q}_i - \nu_i \hat{p}_i))$$

we obtain the center-of-mass tomogram of $\hat{\rho}$ in the following form

$$\omega_{cm}(X, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) = \int \delta(X - \sum_{j=1}^N Y_j) \prod_{j=1}^N \omega_j(Y_j, \mu_j, \nu_j) d\bar{Y}. \quad (3)$$

Although the expression (3) seems to be complicated, it is possible to obtain its limiting form if $N \rightarrow +\infty$ under some conditions (see [1]). In fact, let us involve the observables

$$\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_i(\mu_i, \nu_i) = \mu_i \hat{q}_i + \nu_i \hat{p}_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad (4)$$

which are independent in the state (2). Put

$$\hat{s}_N = \hat{s}_N(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{x}_i. \quad (5)$$

Then, the center-of-mass tomogram (7) can be considered as a probability distribution of $\hat{s}_N$ in the separable pure state $\hat{\rho}$. The classical condition of the central limit theorem (the Lyapunov theorem, [6], P. 371) reads

$$S_N \equiv \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E}(|\hat{x}_j|^3)}{(Var(\hat{s}_N))^{3/2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (6)$$

If (6) is satisfied the limiting probability distribution of (7) is asymptotically Gaussian for each fixed μ_i, ν_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$. Our goal is to reveal what kind of conditions we need. In the next section we shall apply to (3) the central limit theorem for two different cases, where all ρ_i are excited states of a quantum oscillator and even or odd coherent states either.

3 Applying the central limit theorem

3.1 Excited states of a quantum oscillator

Let $\hat{\rho}$ be compiled from N excited states of a quantum oscillator such that its wave function in the coordinate representation is

$$\langle \bar{X} | \hat{\rho} \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(\pi \hbar)^{1/4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n_i} n_i!}} H_{n_i} \left(\frac{X_i}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{X_i^2}{2\hbar} \right),$$

where $\bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_N)^T$, H_n denotes the Hermite polynomial and we put $m = \Omega = 1$ for the mass as well as the frequency. In the case, taking into account that we do not put $\hbar = 1$ like it was done in [2, 3]) we get

$$\omega_{cm}(X, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}) = \int \delta(X - \sum_{i=1}^N Y_j) \times \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \hbar (\mu_j^2 + \nu_j^2)}} \frac{1}{2^{n_j} n_j!} H_{n_j}^2 \left(\frac{Y_j}{\sqrt{\hbar (\mu_j^2 + \nu_j^2)}} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{Y_j^2}{\hbar (\mu_j^2 + \nu_j^2)} \right) d\bar{Y}. \quad (7)$$

Notice that the energy of a system in the state (2) equals

$$E = \hbar \left(\frac{N}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^N n_i \right). \quad (8)$$

Thus, the Ehrenfest limit suppose that we keep the value (8) to be constant.

The definitions (4) and (5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\hat{x}_i) &= \mathbb{E}(\hat{s}_N) = 0, \\ \text{Var}(\hat{x}_i) &= \hbar(\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2)\left(\frac{1}{2} + n_i\right), \\ \text{Var}(\hat{s}_N) &= \hbar\left(\sum_{i=1}^N(\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2)\left(\frac{1}{2} + n_i\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(|\hat{x}_j|^3) &= 2 \int_0^{+\infty} X^3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\hbar(\mu_j^2 + \nu_j^2)}} \frac{1}{2^{n_j} n_j!} H_{n_j}^2\left(\frac{X_j}{\sqrt{\hbar(\mu_j^2 + \nu_j^2)}}\right) \times \\ &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{X_j^2}{\hbar(\mu_j^2 + \nu_j^2)}\right) dX \leq C n_j^{3/2} (\hbar(\mu_j^2 + \nu_j^2))^{3/2},\end{aligned}$$

where the constant C doesn't depend on μ_j, ν_j and n_j .

Because the homogeneity of tomograms, to reconstruct the tomogram, it is sufficiently to consider μ_i, ν_i delimited below from zero and bounded from above uniformly in i such that $r < \mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2 < R$ uniformly in i . It results in the following inequalities

$$rE \leq \text{Var}(\hat{s}_N) \leq RE, \quad (9)$$

where the energy E is determined by (8).

One can see that S_N doesn't depend on $\hbar$ and can be estimated as

$$S_N \leq A \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N n_i^{3/2}}{\left(\frac{N}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^N n_i\right)^{3/2}}$$

with the constant A depending only on the energy of the system E and the constants of (9). It follows that if all the numbers n_i are uniformly bounded from above, then $S_N \rightarrow 0$ while $N \rightarrow +\infty$ and the probability distributions determined by the central-of-mass tomogram (7) are asymptotically Gaussian with the zero mean and the variance equal to

$$\sigma_N^2 = \hbar \left(\sum_{i=1}^N (\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2) \left(\frac{1}{2} + n_i \right) \right)$$

with the borders caused by (9)

$$rE \leq \sigma_N^2 \leq RE.$$

Now fix the number N under which the distribution of the center-of-mass tomogram ω_{cm} is almost Gaussian. Then, the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ results in $\sigma_N^2 \rightarrow 0$ and we get $\omega_{cm} \rightarrow \delta(X)$ for all the pairs (μ_i, ν_i) distinguished from zero. Thus, we obtain the probability distribution concentrated in zero with the probability one.

3.2 Even and odd coherent states

Given a complex parameter α one can define the coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ as

$$|\alpha\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle,$$

where we denoted $|n\rangle$ the excited states of a quantum oscillator. The wave function of $|\alpha\rangle$ in the coordinate representation reads

$$\langle X|\alpha\rangle = \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^{1/4}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} - \frac{X^2}{2\hbar} + \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}X}{\sqrt{\hbar}} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\right).$$

In [7] it was proposed to consider superpositions of two coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\alpha\rangle$. In this framework, the even coherent state is defined by

$$|\alpha_+\rangle = N_+(|\alpha\rangle + |-\alpha\rangle), \quad N_+ = \frac{\exp(\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2})}{2\sqrt{\cosh|\alpha|^2}},$$

while the odd coherent state is given by

$$|\alpha_-\rangle = N_-(|\alpha\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle), \quad N_- = \frac{\exp(\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2})}{2\sqrt{\sinh|\alpha|^2}},$$

The symplectic quantum tomograms $\omega_{\pm}$ associated with the even and odd coherent states $|\alpha_{\pm}\rangle$ are determined as follows ([8])

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\pm}(X, \mu, \nu) = & \frac{N_{\pm}}{\sqrt{\pi\hbar(\mu^2 + \nu^2)}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^*)^2 - \frac{X^2}{\hbar(\mu^2 + \nu^2)}\right. \\ & \left. + \nu\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\nu - i\mu} + \frac{\alpha^{*2}}{\nu + i\mu}\right)\right] \left| \exp\left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\alpha X}{\hbar(i\mu - \nu)}\right) \pm \exp\left(-\frac{i\sqrt{2}\alpha X}{\hbar(i\mu - \nu)}\right) \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Notice that the probability distributions of (10) are not Gaussian.

Now let us consider the separable pure state $\hat{\rho} = \prod_{i=1}^N |\alpha_{i\#}\rangle \langle \alpha_{i\#}|$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\#$ equals $+$ or $-$. We shall denote $N_{i\pm}$ the normalization constant for the state $|\alpha_{i\#}\rangle$ with a choice of the index depending on $\# = +$ or $-$ either. Taking into account that for the observables (4) the following formulae hold,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_i | \hat{x}_i | \alpha_i \rangle &= \sqrt{2\hbar} (Re(\alpha_i)\mu_i + Im(\alpha_i)\nu_i), \\ \langle -\alpha_i | \hat{x}_i | \alpha_i \rangle &= i\sqrt{2\hbar} e^{-2|\alpha_i|^2} (Im(\alpha_i)\mu_i + Re(\alpha_i)\nu_i), \\ \langle \alpha_i | \hat{x}_i^2 | \alpha_i \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2}(\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2) + 2\hbar(Re(\alpha_i)\mu_i + Im(\alpha_i)\nu_i)^2, \\ \langle -\alpha_i | \hat{x}_i^2 | \alpha_i \rangle &= e^{-2|\alpha_i|^2} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2}(\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2) - 2\hbar(Im(\alpha_i)\mu_i + Re(\alpha_i)\nu_i)^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

we get for the expectations and variances in the states $\hat{\rho}_i$

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{x}_i) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\hat{x}_i) = N_{i\pm} \hbar \Big((1 + e^{-2|\alpha_i|^2})(\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2) + \\ 4(Re(\alpha_i)\mu_i + Im(\alpha_i)\nu_i)^2 \mp 4e^{-2|\alpha_i|^2}(Im(\alpha_i)\mu_i + Re(\alpha_i)\nu_i)^2 \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2 = \rho$. Then, a rough estimation gives us

$$Var(\hat{x}_i) \geq N_{i\pm} \hbar \rho (1 + e^{-2|\alpha_i|^2}(1 - 4|\alpha_i|^2)) \geq C_1 N_{i\pm} \hbar,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(|x_i|^3) \leq C_2 \hbar^{3/2} |\alpha_i|^3,$$

$$C_3 < N_{i+} < C_4, \quad \frac{C_5}{\sqrt{|\alpha|}} < N_{i-} < C_6,$$

where the constants C_i , $1 \leq i \leq 6$, do not depend on α_i, μ_i, ν_i .

Similarly to the previous section, let us claim that $r \leq \mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2 < R$ and $|\alpha_i| \leq A$ uniformly in i . Then, we obtain the quantity (6),

$$S_N \leq B \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N |\alpha_i|^3}{(\sum_{i=1}^N N_{i\#})^{3/2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where the constant B doesn't depend on $\hbar$. Thus, the distributions of ω_{cm} are asymptotically Gaussian with the zero mean and the variance equal to

$$\sigma_N^2 = \hbar \sum_{i=1}^N N_{i\pm} \Big((1 + e^{-2|\alpha_i|^2})(\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2) +$$

$$4(Re(\alpha_i)\mu_i + Im(\alpha_i)\nu_i)^2 \mp 4e^{-2|\alpha_i|^2}(Im(\alpha_i)\mu_i + Re(\alpha_i)\nu_i)^2 \Big).$$

Taking the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that $\sigma_N^2 \rightarrow 0$ and the final distribution is concentrated in zero with the probability equal to one.

4 Conclusion

We considered the behavior of the center-of-mass tomogram ω_{cm} in the limit case of many degrees of freedom N . The result of [1] is concretized by taking into account the dependence of ω_{cm} on the Planck constant $\hbar$. We considered excited states of a quantum oscillator of [1] and a new example of even and odd coherent states. It is shown that the limiting distribution is Gaussian under the claim that we keep the energy of the system to be constant. Then, if $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ we get the δ -function giving the distribution concentrated in zero with the probability one.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Projects Nos. 07-02-00598 and 08-02-90300-Viet (G.G.A. and V.I. M.) and by the grant ADTP "Evaluating the scientific potential of high school" Pr. Nr. 2.1.1/1662 (G.G.A.).

References

- [1] G.G. Amosov, V.I. Man'ko. J. Physics A: Math. and Gen. 38 (2005) 2173-2177.
- [2] A.S. Arkhipov, Yu.E. Lozovik, V.I. Man'ko. Phys. Lett. A 328 (2004), no. 6, 419–431.
- [3] A.S. Arkhipov, Yu.E. Lozovik, V.I. Man'ko. Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 142 (2005), no. 2, 371–387
- [4] V.I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, A. Simoni, A. Stern, F. Venriglia. Phys.Lett. A 343 (2005) 251-256.
- [5] A.S. Holevo. Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory. North-Holland Pub. Co., 1982.
- [6] P. Billingsley. Probability and measure (2 ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1986.
- [7] V.V. Dodonov, I.A. Malkin, V.I. Man'ko. Physica 72 (1974) 597-615.
- [8] V.I. Man'ko. in Theory of nonclassical states of light (ed. V.V. Dodonov, V.I. Man'ko), Taylor and Francies, 2003. 219-240